

My name is Robert Eoff. I am a citizen of Texas, a life long banker (35 years) and currently president of a 100 year old community bank in North Texas. I am a 10th generation American, married only once (33 years), a father of two children and grandfather of three and a veteran of the U.S. Navy.

Most importantly, I am confessing Christian. As part of my faith, I firmly believe the Socialist Security Number is, at a minimum, a precursor or strong link to the Mark-of-the-Beast referred to in Revelation, Chapter 13. Think I'm crazy? Do a Google search on 42 USC 666 and then decide if it's just a coincidence about the number 666. Today, one must furnish his Mark (SSN) to buy a car or sell a home. In the not too distant future, I fear, "free" people will be required to furnish his/her Mark to buy milk or bread and the like. For anyone who might have an interest, please visit www.nonationalid.com and find out about the Real I.D. Act.

I detest the use of Socialist Security Numbers for a variety of reasons.

I could belabor for hours and hours on the subject of SSN's and I have dozens of newspaper articles about the improper use of SSN's I have collected over the years, but please consider the following:

1. I have a lawsuit which has been pending in District Court in Texas for several years concerning the "requirement" for me to provide my SSN in order to renew my driver's license.
2. I have a potential lawsuit against the Secretary of State of Texas because I have not been allowed to register to vote unless I provide the last four digits of my Socialist Security number, despite the fact I have a U.S. Passport which is apparently not acceptable I.D. for the "great" State of Texas.
3. My three grandsons do not have Socialist Security Numbers. This has cost "PaPa" several thousand dollars for their freedom from the Mark. How is this you ask? For the last several years, Papa pays the difference in tax refunds for my Daughter and Son-in-law's federal income tax return based on [not] claiming dependents which have no Mark! My son-in-law is associate pastor for a small Baptist Church.

4. My only son got married last year and refused to obtain a marriage license from the State, partly because the "State" is/was not a party to the marriage, but also because the "State" demanded his/her Mark (SSN) as a requirement to obtain a license.

5. For the past several years, my wife and I have tried repeatedly to visit doctors and dentists who do not "require" the Mark. While there ARE a few out there who do not require the Mark, they are becoming about as scarce as hen's teeth.

6. Just about every day, I read about identity theft which is the number one crime in the U.S. Guess what the key ingredient is in this activity? The SSN!

7. A socialist security card is NOT considered an "identity" document according to SSA! Historically, SSA has only been in the business of "assigning" numbers to names. Only recently, has the SSA begun to require some form of verification from applicants. Still, a SS card is NOT officially considered an "identification" document.

=====
As a solution, we just need to get back to the original intent of the SSN which would greatly reduce identify theft and cause for concern from religious "fanatics" like me who The original purpose was for social security and tax purposes and those purposes ONLY! BTW - I don't have a problem with the SSN's if they are used [only] for their original purpose! If the local hardware store has a practical need to assign me a customer "number" for his convenience etc, fine. But when the number becomes necessary for essentials of life and interface with others, the "system" has gone too far and Revelation 13 begins to kick in.

To anyone interested in discussing this subject further or obtaining copies of documentation I have on the subject, I would welcome any inquiry.

Robert Eoff